

OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NORMAN F. OBLON (703) 413-3000 NOBLON@OBLON.COM

ROBERT T. Pous (703) 413-3000

RPOUS@OBLON.COM



Docket No.: 246602US2

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/737,121

Applicants: Hiroshi GOTOH, et al. Filing Date: December 17, 2003

For: ELECTRONIC DEVICE, METHOD OF MANUFACTURE OF THE SAME, AND

SPUTTERING TARGET

Group Art Unit: 2815 Examiner: NGUYEN, J.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Registration No. 24,618

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) I:\cfdav\246602.cvr Robert T. Pous

Registration No. 29,099

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A.
TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 WWW.OBLON.COM



DOCKET NO: 246602US2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

HIROSHI GOTOH, ET AL. : EXAMINER: NGUYEN, J.

SERIAL NO: 10/737,121

FILED: DECEMBER 17, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2815

FOR: ELECTRONIC DEVICE, METHOD

OF MANUFACTURE OF THE SAME, AND SPUTTERING TARGET

RESTRICTION RESPONSE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Office Action dated November 1 2004, Applicants herein elect group I corresponding to claims 1-16 and 23-25, drawn to an electronic device, classified in class 257, subclass 765, with traverse for prosecution in the present application.

Applicant traverses the outstanding Restriction Requirement as the outstanding Restriction Requirement has not established that an undue burden would be required if the Restriction Requirement was not issued and if all the claims were examined together. More particularly, MPEP §803 states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. Application No. 10/737,121 Reply to Office Action of November 1, 2004

In the present application any search of the elected device claims would also include the classes and subclasses appropriate for searching the method claims, and so then would be no undue burden if all of the claims were examined together.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAJER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon Attorney of Record Registration No. 24,618

Robert T. Pous Registration No. 29,099

> Eckhard H. Kuesters Registration No. 28,870

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Customer Number} \\ 22850 \end{array}$

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04)

I:\CFDAV\ELECTIONSANDRESTRICTIONS\246602.REST